

## Quality Politics: Costs and Return of Investments

R. LUPAN<sup>1</sup>, C. ROBLEDO<sup>1</sup>, I. C. BACIVAROV<sup>2</sup>, Angelica BACIVAROV<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> LASQUO laboratory, ISTIA, Angers, France

<sup>2</sup> EUROQUALROM laboratory, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania

### Abstract

*This paper analyses some issues concerning the economic aspects of quality. The quality management system holds a vital place in the company's structure but sometimes requires important operating costs. These costs are known as Quality Obtaining Costs (QOC) and may be considered as an investment. Planning an investment, means evaluating its return in order to see if it is profitable or not. Measuring the return of quality politics investment raise some delicate problems. We may calculate some aspects of the return of investment by measuring the shape of non-quality costs. An eventual decrease of these costs could be synonym with a profitable investment. But the advantages of good quality politics cannot be measured only by taking into consideration the non-quality costs (even if they include direct and indirect costs). There are also intangible advantages (like mark image, competences, polyvalence, client's satisfaction...) that derive from quality approaches. How to evaluate this type of consequences / advantages? The idea developed in this article is to considerate the quality politics like an immaterial/intelligent investment. Therefore could it be advantageous / possible to use the immaterial investment's measuring and evaluation techniques for studying the quality politics return of investment?*